arXiv:1510.00006v1 [hep-th] 30 Sep 2015

New Supersymmetric Localizations from Topological Gravity

Jinbeom Bae[1,a], Camillo Imbimbo[2,3,b], Soo-Jong Rey[1,4,c], Dario Rosa[1,d]

[1] *School of Physics & Astronomy and Center for Theoretical Physics Seoul National University, Seoul 08826* KOREA

[2] *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146, Genoa,* ITALY
[3] *INFN, Sezione di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146, Genoa,* ITALY

[4] *Fields, Gravity & Strings, Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe Institute for Basic Sciences, Daejeon 34047* KOREA

[a]kastalean4@gmail.com, [b]camillo.imbimbo@ge.infn.it, [c]sjrey@snu.ac.kr, [d]dario.rosa85@snu.ac.kr

Abstract

Supersymmetric field theories can be studied exactly on suitable off-shell supergravity backgrounds. We show that in two dimensions such backgrounds are identifiable with BRST invariant backgrounds of topological gravity coupled to an abelian topological gauge multiplet. This latter background is required for the consistent coupling of the topological 'matter' YM theory to topological gravity. We make use of this topological point of view to obtain, in a simple and straightforward way, a complete classification of localizing supersymmetric backgrounds in two dimensions. The BRST invariant topological backgrounds are parametrized by both Killing vectors and S^1-equivariant cohomology of the 2-dimensional world-sheet. We reconstruct completely the supergravity backgrounds from the topological data: some of the supergravity fields are twisted versions of the topological backgrounds, but others are "composite", i.e. they are non-linear functionals of them. We recover all the known localizing 2-dimensional backgrounds and (infinitely) many more that have not been explored so far. We show that the supersymmetric Ω-deformation is nothing but the background value of the ghost-for-ghost of topological gravity, a result which holds for other dimensions too. The new localizing backgrounds are characterized by non-trivial fluxes for both the graviphotons of the supergravity multiplet.

Contents

1 Introduction and Summary **2**

2 A Topological Formulation of $2d$ Yang-Mills Theory **8**

3 Coupling to $2\,d$ Background Topological Gravity **15**

4 BRST invariant Topological Backgrounds **18**

5 Relation to Supergravity Backgrounds **21**

5.1 Graviphoton Backgrounds 22

5.2 $U(1)_R$ Field Strength Background 24

6 Classification of Supergravity Backgrounds **26**

6.1 All Supersymmetric Localizing Backgrounds on $\mathbb{S}^2$ 27

6.2 Ω-Deformed $\mathbb{S}^2$ 29

6.3 All Localizing Backgrounds on $\mathbb{T}^2$ 31

7 $O(1,1)$ Duality Symmetry **31**

8 Conclusions **35**

A Standard YM Theory from Topological YM Theory **38**

B $\mathbb{S}^1$-Equivariant Cohomology **40**

B.1 $\mathbb{S}^1$-Equivariant Cohomology for $\mathbb{S}^2$ 40

B.2 $\mathbb{S}^1$-Equivariant Cohomology for $\mathbb{T}^2$ 41

"Fiyero....It's not lying. It's .... looking at things another way!"

Gregory Maguire

'Wicked: The Life and Times of the Wicked Witch of the West'

1 Introduction and Summary

The localization technique refers to an exact WKB method by virtue of which the semi-classical approximation becomes exact. Roughly speaking, the technique practices in two steps:

- identifying nilpotent symmetry and its critical points
- evaluating steepest descent integrals about each critical points.

The exact result is then obtained from the sum of these contributions over all critical points. The localization technique has been extensively studied for a broad class of quantum field theories that admit Lagrangian descriptions, in particular, supersymmetric or topological quantum field theories. For instance, the topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) whose action is BRST-exact[1] are semi-classically exact since their coupling constant is a gauge parameter which can be taken arbitrarily small. A traditional route for constructing TQFTs is by topologically twisting supersymmetric quantum field theories (SQFTs) by means of a conserved R-symmetry. Hence, the localization technique has frequently been associated to SQFTs since the early days.

Recently, starting from the work [1], localization technique has been revived for various SQFTs, without connecting it to TQFTs in any explicit manner. Rather, localization has emerged as a special property of SQFTs when defined on specific external field backgrounds. These external fields may be identified, as done first in [2], with an off-shell configuration of a supergravity (SG) multiplet that the SQFT can couple to: localization holds when the background preserves (at least) a supersymmetry. In this case, there exists (at least) a generalized covariantly constant spinor that sets the supersymmetry variations of fermionic fields of the SG multiplet to zero and that in turn defines the conserved global supercharge for the SQFT. The generalized covariantly constant spinor must satisfy integrability conditions which put stringent constraints on the bosonic fields of the SG multiplet. These fields include the spacetime metric and also, in theories with extended supersymmetries, vector fields of gauged R-symmetries as well as off-shell auxiliary fields. It turns out that, in general, the bosonic fields of the SG multiplet must be switched on for the SQFT to be put supersymmetrically on a — compact or noncompact — curved manifold supporting generalized covariantly constant spinors. The background bosonic fields are external sources

[1] These TQFTs are commonly known as "of cohomological type".

for associated conserved current operators of the SQFT. Hereafter, we shall refer the SQFT under consideration to as the *matter* SQFT.

Generalized covariantly constant spinors depend on the spacetime dimensions and also on the specific SG to which the matter SQFT is coupled. Schematically, the equation defining a generalized covariantly constant spinor takes the structure

$$D_\mu(\omega, A)\ \epsilon = [\nabla_\mu(\omega) + A_\mu]\ \epsilon = 0,$$

where ω is the local Lorentz spin connection and A contains both the one-form connection of internal gauge symmetry and the other SG auxiliary fields. The localization technique to matter SQFT is applicable when the space of generalized covariantly constant spinors is non-empty. A complete classification of generalized covariantly constant spinors is a complicated problem. Although explicit solutions have been obtained case by case in various spacetime dimensions, there is no general strategy for constructing the covariantly constant spinors and for classifying the background spacetime metrics and gauge fields which support them.

In this paper we put forward a new approach for finding localizing backgrounds for matter SQFTs. In fact, this approach was already introduced by two of the authors of the present paper in three dimensions [3]. Here, we explain and emphasize its generality, and apply it to two-dimensional matter SQFT. We believe that this new approach provides a more transparent and efficient route for the exact WKB for SQFTs, and at the same time clears some misconceptions that appeared in previous works. Therefore, we think it might be useful to summarize, in the rest of this Section, the main ideas and results of this article.

We take the matter SQFT to be a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric gauge theory of gauge group G containing vector multiplets in the adjoint representation coupled to chiral and twisted chiral multiplets in anomaly-free representations. The key idea of this paper is to consider the topological counterpart of this system: the topological matter QFT coupled to both background topological gravity (TG) and background topological $U(1)$ vector multiplet. We will explain that the background topological $U(1)$ multiplet is required for consistent coupling of the topological matter QFT to TG.

TQFTs are associated to a BRST symmetry. Localizing topological backgrounds are therefore BRST invariant ones. Equating to zero the BRST variations of the fermionic fields of TG and of the topological abelian theory, one obtains "fixed point" equations for the bosonic topological backgrounds. The equations for the BRST invariant topological backgrounds can be thought of as the topological counterpart of the equations for the generalized covariantly constant spinors of SG. Are they merely related each other by topological twist-

ing? We will see that, contrary to the naïve expectation, the answer to this question is negative, and the relation between the two systems is very non-trivial.

In fact, the two sets of equations differ in several important respects. Firstly, the equations which specify the BRST invariant backgrounds are not equations for spinorial fields but for integer-spin fields. Secondly, their structure is largely universal. In particular, it is *independent* of spacetime dimension. As we will see, these equations have a natural interpretation in terms of $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant cohomology of the underlying spacetime. Consequently the equations for the BRST invariant topological backgrounds are considerably simpler than their spinorial SG counterparts. In this paper, we shall demonstrate these features in two-dimensions, by exhibiting *all* the BRST invariant topological backgrounds *up to topological equivalences*.

Such cohomological classification is an interesting novel result by itself, but it is not all. We will also show that every BRST invariant topological background is identifiable with every $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ localizing SG background. We shall provide the explicit map between the BRST invariant topological backgrounds and the supersymmetry-preserving SG backgrounds. In this way, we shall not only recover all the localizing SG solutions constructed in previous works [4] - [7] but also many more: in fact, infinitely many more.

We stress that the aformentioned map is highly non-trivial. Contrary to naïve expectations, most of topological bosonic background fields are not, in any sense, bosonic fields of "topologically twisted" SG. Several of the BRST invariant topological backgrounds are bilinears of the covariantly constant spinors of supergravity. For example, the ghost-for-ghost field[2] of TG is identified with the spinorial bilinear which defines the Killing vector of the spacetime metric. Conversely, the SG fields which correspond to a BRST invariant topological background are, in general, non-linear functionals of the fields of TG. In Section 5 we will explicitly construct these functionals for the specific case of two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ SG: however we stress that the construction we introduce, which is in essence based on general properties of Fierz identities, can be readily generalized to other dimensions and to higher extended supersymmetry.

The construction goes as follows. From the generalized covariantly constant spinor equations of the SG, we derive a set of equations satisfied by the spinor bilinears. We then observe that the equations for the BRST invariant topological backgrounds turn out to be a *subset* of these equations. We will show that they form a *minimal subset* in the sense that solutions of the TG equations completely fix corresponding solutions of all the SG equations for the

[2]The ghost-for-ghosts of topological gauge and gravity theories are also referred to as "super-ghosts".

spinor bilinears.

In the traditional approach in which matter SQFT is coupled to SG, the supersymmetry preserving bosonic backgrounds are obtained in two steps: first by solving the covariantly constant spinor equations and then by expressing the bosonic backgrounds in terms of the spinor bilinears. In the approach in which the matter SQFT is coupled to the background of TG and topological gauge theory, the BRST invariant bosonic backgrounds are derived directly. In this regard, the topological formulation does not only provide the simplest way to solve the SG equation but also gives a precise definition of (topological) equivalent BRST invariant backgrounds. Indeed, in the approach of TG and topological gauge theory, the distinction between fields and supersymmetry parameters is irrelevant: all variables are fields on which topological gauge transformations act. So, it is a simple matter to see whether two topological backgrounds are gauge equivalent.

Using our map, this provides a way to characterize gauge equivalence among seemingly different SG backgrounds. To the best of our knowledge, such equivalence relations are new and hard to directly see in the SG framework.

In Section 2, we start by looking for a topological formulation of two-dimensional matter SQFTs which can be coupled to two-dimensional TG. To this end, we revisit the topological formulation of two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory [8], which forms the vector multiplet part of the matter SQFTs. Witten's topological Yang-Mills theory localizes on flat gauge connections and thus it only describes the zero-coupling limit of the standard YM theory. We recall that, in Witten's approach, the standard YM theory is recovered by adding to the topological Yang-Mills action the integral of a scalar operator of ghost number 4, quadratic in the scalar ghost-for-ghost of the gauge multiplet. To make the combined action invariant under reparametrizations of two-dimensional spacetime, the scalar operator needs to be integrated with the help of a volume form, which, in Witten's formulation, is derived from a background metric. The resulting action is *no longer* topological, and the partition function of the standard YM theory does depend on the metric via the integral of its volume form [3].

From the viewpoint of matter QFT coupled to TG, such dependence of the action on the background metric is problematic. We therefore add to the topological Yang-Mills action the same quadratic scalar operator integrated with the help of a background topological $U(1)$ field strength two-form, instead of the metric-induced volume form. BRST invariance of our action requires both deforming Witten's topological transformations by terms which depend

[3]For self-contained presentation, we recapitulate in Appendix A connection between two-dimensional standard and topological YM theories.

on the topological $U(1)$ field strength background and adding auxiliary non-dynamical fields. The upshot of this first step is a topological version of two-dimensional standard YM theory coupled to a topological $U(1)$ field strength background.

Having now an explicit topological action for the two-dimensional standard Yang-Mills theory, the consistent coupling to background TG is easily carried out. This second step is performed in Section 3. The resulting theory depends now on *two* topological backgrounds: the TG background and the topological $U(1)$ field strength background. We also identify the associated BRST transformations for both matter fields and backgrounds which close off-shell.

This sets the stage for finding the topological BRST invariant bosonic backgrounds: these correspond to matter QFTs on curved backgrounds which enjoy *global* topological supersymmetry. As it is familiar from SG, the BRST invariant topological backgrounds are specified by the BRST transformations rules for the backgrounds only: they are independent of the specific matter TQFT which couples to them. In the TG approach the equations which specify the invariant backgrounds have a universal and simple form: the BRST variation of the topological gravitino $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ of TG provides an equation for the metric and the bosonic ghost-for-ghost γ^{μ} of the TG multiplet

$$S\,\psi^{\mu\nu} = D^{\mu}\,\gamma^{\nu} + D^{\nu}\,\gamma^{\mu} = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Simply put, these equations state that the ghost-for-ghost background is a Killing vector of the metric. In two dimensions, this restricts the euclidean spacetime manifold to be either a 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ or a 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$.

In addition, the BRST variation of the topological $U(1)$ background gaugino $\psi^{(1)}$ yields

$$S\,\psi^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)} - i_{\gamma}\ f^{(2)} = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\gamma^{(0)}$ is the bosonic superghost of the $U(1)$ gauge multiplet background and $f^{(2)}$ is the $U(1)$ field strength. In fact, Eq. (1.2) is the simplest and most extensively studied example of equivariant cohomology: it states that the $U(1)$ topological backgrounds $(\gamma^{(0)}, f^{(2)})$ are equivariant classes of the S^1-equivariant cohomology on the $\mathbb{S}^2$ or $\mathbb{T}^2$ euclidean spacetime. The interesting case is the one of the 2-sphere, $\mathbb{S}^2$. It is well-known that the S^1-equivariant cohomology of the sphere is the polynomial ring generated by two classes x and y of ghost number 2, subject to the hypersurface relation

$$x^2 - y^2 = 0\ . \tag{1.3}$$

We describe these classes in detail in Section 4. They parametrize the moduli space of inequivalent class of SG backgrounds that lead to supersymmetric localization.

In Section 5 we explain the map between BRST invariant backgrounds and localizing backgrounds of $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SG. This SG multiplet contains two graviphotons. The 2-form $f^{(2)}$ is identified with the field strength of one of them. The superghost fields — both the vector γ^μ of TG and the scalar $\gamma^{(0)}$ of the topological $U(1)$ gauge multiplet — which solve (1.1) and (1.2) coincide with the independent bilinears of the covariantly constant spinors of SG. In two dimensions, there is another scalar spinorial bilinear c_0 which is determined by the independent bilinears by means of a quadratic relation. This scalar bilinear turns out to be related, via an equation which is identical in form to (1.2), to the field strength of the second graviphoton: this second graviphoton of SG is therefore a "composite" field in terms of the topological variables. We will provide the explicit expression for the second graviphoton field strength in terms of the topological fields. Finally, we will also write down the field strength of the $U(1)_R$ — the R-symmetry of the supersymmetric matter theory — in terms of the topological backgrounds. In this way *all* the bosonic fields of the SG multiplet which support generalized covariantly constant spinors are reconstructed in terms of the topological backgrounds solving (1.1) and (1.2).

Having described the general map between topological backgrounds and SG ones, we analyze in detail the case of the two-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$[4]. We recover all the known localizing solutions which have been described in the literature. We also uncover an infinite number of new solutions. The structure of the space of solutions is pictured in Figure 1. The fluxes of the two graviphotons on the sphere are quantized: they are called (n, m). There are two main different classes of solutions. The first class, depicted with red and blu dots, comprises the solutions with $(n, m = \pm n)$. Their $U(1)_R$ flux is ± 1. This class includes the so-called Ω-deformed sphere background [6], [7]. This led us to the following important observation:

The Ω-deformation is realized in TG by the vector superghost γ^μ background.

This statement of course is not limited to dimension two: it applies to any dimensions since the form of the gravitino BRST variation of TG (1.1) is universal.

We believe that this is an interesting and non-trivial novel lesson of our construction, which applies to any dimensions: in topological models it is natural — and necessary to describe the full set of localizable SQFTs — to switch on topological backgrounds with

[4]The torus is also described by our formulas, but since in this case the $\mathbb{S}^1$ acts without fixed points, the equivariant cohomology does not give more information than the standard one.

non-vanishing and *even* ghost number. These backgrounds have, beyond the Ω-parameter, another continuous parameter which is the zero-mode of the gauge scalar superghost $\gamma^{(0)}$: in the models for which the matter vector multiplet includes a quadratic twisted superpotential this continous parameter can be identified with its coupling constant. There is a second class of solutions for which the flux of the "composite" graviphoton m takes integer values in the set $\{-n, -n+1, \ldots, n\}$, where n is the flux of the "topological" graviphoton. These "discrete" solutions have $U(1)_R$ flux equal to zero. They are the black dots of Figure 1. The solution with $n = -2$ and $m = 0$ is the solution of [4] and [5]. The "discrete" series has no continous parameter beyond the Ω-background.

In section 7 we describe the action of the non-compact $SO(1,1)$ duality group of SG on the localizing backgrounds. This non-compact duality group acts on the central charges of the supersymmetry algebra and it is an automorphisms of the generalized covariantly constant spinor equations [6]. In the topological framework, the duality group is the group of linear automorphism of the ring relation (1.3) which characterizes the BRST invariant backgrounds. The duality group is *non-linearly* realized on the topological backgrounds but it acts linearly on the cohomology classes x and y. It is in general broken by a given localizing background: however *discrete* subsets of duality transformations map localizing SG backgrounds to different ones. We describe explicitly these discrete subsets for the various kind of localizing SG backgrounds in Section 7. In section 8, we summarize our main results and discuss issues which may be worth of future investigations.

2 A Topological Formulation of $2d$ Yang-Mills Theory

The bosonic sector of two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ supersymmetric gauge theory contains the two-dimensional Yang-Mills (YM) theory. In this section, we develop a topological formulation of two-dimensional YM theory, viewed as a deformation of two-dimensional topological YM theory. We consider both theories defined on a smooth manifold Σ equipped with a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

The relation between standard YM theory and topological YM theory in two dimensions was considered long ago in [8]. Witten's reformulation of two-dimensional YM theory, although closely related to the topological theory, is not invariant under reparametrizations: it explicitly depends on a two-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Here, we revise Witten's formulation and obtain a matter TQFT which can be consistently coupled to TG.

Let us first review Witten's formulation of two-dimensional YM theory, whose bosonic

field content is identical to that of the topological counterpart: it consists of the gauge connection one-form field $A = A^a\, T^a$ and a scalar field $\phi = \phi^a\, T^a$, both transforming in the adjoint representation of the gauge group G. T^a, with $a = 1, \ldots \dim G$, are generators of the Lie algebra associated to the gauge group G. The theory's partition function is

$$Z[g, \epsilon] = \int [\mathrm{d}A \mathrm{d}\phi]\; e^{-I_{\mathrm{YM}}(g,\epsilon)}.$$

Here, I_{YM} is the action functional

$$I_{\mathrm{YM}}(g, \epsilon) = \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\, \phi\, F + \epsilon \int_\Sigma \mathrm{d}^2x\, \sqrt{g}\, \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\, \phi^2 \tag{2.4}$$

where F is the field strength two-form

$$F = d\, A + A^2 \ .$$

Note that the ϵ-independent part of the action (2.4) coincides with the bosonic part of the action of the topological YM theory. The partition function defines an effective action of the two-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the deformation parameter ϵ.

The action (2.4) is invariant under the BRST gauge transformations s:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mathrm{gauge}}\, c &= -c^2 \ , \\ s_{\mathrm{gauge}}\, A &= -D\, c \ , \\ s_{\mathrm{gauge}}\, \phi &= -[c, \phi] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The action I_{YM} is quadratic in the scalar field ϕ which can therefore be integrated out, yielding the physical Yang-Mills theory action:

$$I_{\mathrm{YM}} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_\Sigma \mathrm{d}^2x \sqrt{g}\, \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\, F^2 \ . \tag{2.6}$$

Recalling that ϵ was initially introduced as a parameter that deforms away from the topological gauge theory, we see that it is identifiable with the coupling constant of the physical gauge theory. Conversely, the classical limit of the physical gauge theory is the topological gauge theory.

The theory (2.4) is neither invariant under diffeomorphisms nor under conformal transformations of the two-dimensional spacetime $\Sigma(g)$. It is however invariant under *area-preserving* diffeomorphisms, $w(\infty)$, which span an infinite-dimensional symmetry transformations. This huge *global* symmetry is the basis for anticipating exact solvability of the theory [9], [10].

To further study how the infinite-dimensional global symmetry constrains the theory, we promote it to a *local* symmetry by coupling the theory to suitable background fields. Accordingly, we replace the volume-form $\sqrt{g}\ \mathrm{d}^2x$ with a topological background given by a two-form field $f^{(2)}$. This changes the action (2.4) to

$$\widetilde{I}[f^{(2)}] = \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi\, F - \int_\Sigma f^{(2)} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2 \ . \tag{2.7}$$

This action does not have the same physical content as the original action. By Hodge decomposition, the two-form field $f^{(2)}$ takes the form

$$f^{(2)} = \Omega^{(2)} + \mathrm{d}\,\Omega^{(1)} \ , \tag{2.8}$$

where $\Omega^{(1)}$ is a one-form and

$$\Omega^{(2)} = \epsilon\,\sqrt{g}\ \mathrm{d}^2x \ ,$$

is a representative of $H^2(\Sigma)$.

For $\widetilde{I}[f^{(2)}]$ to be equivalent to $I_{\mathrm{YM}}[g,\epsilon]$, one must remove the degrees of freedom associated with $\Omega^{(1)}$. We can achieve this by letting the background $f^{(2)}$ transform under the BRST operator as follows

$$s\, f^{(2)} = -\mathrm{d}\,\psi^{(1)} \ , \tag{2.9}$$

where $\psi^{(1)}$ is a fermionic background of ghost number $+1$. However, the BRST transformation (2.9) is degenerate: we need therefore to further introduce a *ghost-for-ghost* background $\gamma^{(0)}$ of ghost number $+2$

$$s\,\psi^{(1)} = -\mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)} \ , \tag{2.10}$$

with

$$s\,\gamma^{(0)} = 0 \ . \tag{2.11}$$

Since now the background field is not inert under s, the action (2.6) is no longer BRST invariant:

$$s\,\widetilde{I} = s\,\left(-\int_\Sigma f^{(2)}\,\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2 \right) = \int_\Sigma \mathrm{d}\,\psi^{(1)}\,\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2 = -\int_\Sigma \psi^{(1)}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi\, D\,\phi \ .$$

To restore the BRST invariance, one must modify the BRST transformation law for the connection one-form A as

$$s\,A = -D\,c + \psi^{(1)}\,\phi + \cdots \ . \tag{2.12}$$

We see that the BRST variation of the first term in $\widetilde{I}$ cancels the BRST variation of the second term:

$$s\,\widetilde{I} = s\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi\,F - \int_\Sigma \psi^{(1)}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,D\,\phi\,\phi = -\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi\,D\left(\psi^{(1)}\,\phi\right) - \int_\Sigma \psi^{(1)}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,D\,\phi\,\phi = 0\ .$$

The problem with the modified transformation (2.12) is that it is no longer nilpotent:

$$s^2\,A = -\mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)}\,\phi + \cdots\ .$$

To fix this, it is necessary to modify also the BRST transformation rule for the ghost field c

$$s\,c = -c^2 + \gamma^{(0)}\,\phi\ .$$

One finds that

$$s^2\,c = 0\ ,$$

Moreover, an extra term in $s^2\,A$ cancels the term proportional to $\mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)}$:

$$s^2\,A = D\left(\gamma^{(0)}\,\phi\right) - \mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)}\,\phi + \cdots = \gamma^{(0)}\,D\,\phi + \cdots\ .$$

Although this is still nonzero, the lack of nilpotency is now reduced to a term proportional to the equations of motion of A:

$$\frac{\delta\widetilde{I}}{\delta A} = D\,\phi\ = 0.$$

We conclude that, on-shell, the BRST transformation for A is nilpotent:

$$s^2\,A \simeq 0 \qquad \text{on-shell}\ .$$

There is a systematic method to extend the on-shell BRST invariance to off-shell [3]. One starts by introducing a one-form field valued in adjoint representation of the gauge group G

$$\widetilde{A} \equiv \tilde{A}^a_\mu\,T^a\,\mathrm{d}x^\mu\ ,$$

carrying ghost number -1. One also modifies the BRST transformation rule for A by adding to it a term depending on the newly introduced one-form field $\widetilde{A}$:

$$s\,A = -D\,c + \psi^{(1)}\,\phi + \gamma^{(0)}\,\widetilde{A}\ . \tag{2.13}$$

This modification makes the BRST operator s nilpotent off-shell on all fields

$$s^2\, c = s^2\, A = s^2\, \phi = s^2\, \widetilde{A} = 0 \qquad \text{off-shell} \ ,$$

assuming that $\widetilde{A}$ transforms according to

$$s\, \widetilde{A} = -[c, \widetilde{A}] - D\, \phi \ .$$

The term proportional to $\gamma^{(0)}$ in (2.13) spoils the BRST invariance of the action

$$s\, \widetilde{I} = -\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\, \phi\, D\, (\gamma^{(0)}\, \widetilde{A}) = \int_\Sigma \gamma^{(0)}\, \mathrm{Tr}\, D\, \phi \wedge \widetilde{A} \ .$$

One needs therefore to further modify the action by adding to it a term quadratic in $\widetilde{A}$,

$$I \equiv \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\, \phi\, F - \int_\Sigma f^{(2)}\, \frac{1}{2}\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \phi^2 + \int_\Sigma \gamma^{(0)}\, \frac{1}{2}\, \mathrm{Tr}\, (\widetilde{A} \wedge \widetilde{A}) \ , \tag{2.14}$$

The final action I, which is still topological, is manifestly invariant

$$s\, I = 0 \ ,$$

under BRST transformations acting on *both* the dynamical fields *and* the backgrounds

$$\begin{aligned}
&s\, c = -c^2 + \gamma^{(0)}\, \phi \ , \\
&s\, A = -D\, c + \gamma^{(0)}\, \widetilde{A} + \psi^{(1)}\, \phi \ , \\
&s\, \phi = -[c, \phi] \ , \\
&s\, \widetilde{A} = -[c, \widetilde{A}] - D\, \phi \ , \\
&s\, f^{(2)} = -\mathrm{d}\, \psi^{(1)} \ , \\
&s\, \psi^{(1)} = -\mathrm{d}\, \gamma^{(0)} \ , \\
&s\, \gamma^{(0)} = 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Roughly speaking, we are introducing a set of spurion fields whose classical expectation values correspond to the backgrounds.

To construct a theory invariant under *global* topological supersymmetry, we consider the backgrounds which are fixed points of the BRST transformations (2.15):

$$\mathrm{d}\, \psi^{(1)} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{d}\, \gamma^{(0)} = 0 \ .$$

Given the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading structure of the ghost number, one can choose the fixed point backgrounds to be purely *bosonic*:

$$\psi^{(1)} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma^{(0)} = \text{constant} \ . \tag{2.16}$$

In these backgrounds, the BRST transformations act non-trivially only on the dynamical fields

$$\begin{aligned} s\, c &= -c^2 + \gamma^{(0)}\, \phi \ , \\ s\, A &= -D\, c + \gamma^{(0)}\, \widetilde{A} \ , \\ s\, \phi &= -[c, \phi] \ , \\ s\, \widetilde{A} &= -[c, \widetilde{A}] - D\, \phi \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

One can freely rescale the fields by the backgrounds to

$$\widehat{\psi} \equiv \gamma^{(0)}\, \widetilde{A} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widehat{\phi} \equiv \gamma^{(0)}\, \phi \ ,$$

carrying ghost number 1 and 2, respectively. It is immediate to verify that the resulting theory is the quasi-topological Yang-Mills theory of [8].

At this point, it would be helpful to recapitulate the strategy we have paved so far. Our starting point is two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory, which has the area-preserving diffeomorphisms as an infinite-dimensional global symmetry. To implement this global symmetry systematically, we first replaced the two-dimensional volume form by a two-form spurion field $f^{(2)}$. The global symmetry of the original model is reflected by the fact that the action containing the spurion fields depends only on the cohomology class of $f^{(2)}$. We showed that, as the background is promoted to a spurion field, this procedure entails both extending the BRST transformations to the spurion fields and accordingly deforming the BRST transformations of the gauge multiplet. This procedure amounts to promote the global symmetry to a local gauge symmetry. To ensure off-shell BRST invariance it was necessary to introduce an anticommuting one-form field, $\widetilde{A}$, as a compensator. This field turned out to be proportional to the gaugino of topological YM. We managed to obtain in this way a BRST invariant formulation of two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory coupled to topological spurion fields, viz. $(f^{(2)}, \psi^{(1)}, \gamma^{(0)})$.

A comment about the spurion topological multiplet $(f^{(2)}, \psi^{(1)}, \gamma^{(0)})$ is in order. The role of $f^{(2)}$ was to replace the metric volume form $\sqrt{g}\, d^2x$ of the Witten formulation, as indicated in Eqs. (2.8) and (2.9). As such the topological spurion multiplet is not necessarily to be

identified with the field strength multiplet of a $U(1)$ topological connection. However we will see that, in the correspondence that we will establish between topological backgrounds and localizing SG backgrounds, $f^{(2)}$ will be identified with the field strength of one of the two SG graviphotons. Therefore it is natural to require that

$$f^{(2)} = \mathrm{d}\, a^{(1)} \tag{2.18}$$

where $a^{(1)}$ is an abelian *connection* on Σ, which transforms under the BRST operator as follows:

$$s\, a^{(1)} = -\mathrm{d}\, \xi^{(0)} + \psi^{(1)} \ . \tag{2.19}$$

Here, $\xi^{(0)}$ is the ghost of the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry.

The partition function

$$Z[(f^{(2)}, \psi^{(1)}, \gamma^{(0)})] \equiv \int [\mathrm{d}A\, \mathrm{d}\phi\, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{A}]\ \exp\big(- I[A, \phi, \widetilde{A}; f^{(2)}, \psi^{(1)}, \gamma^{(0)}]\big) \ ,$$

which encodes the effective action of the spurion fields and hence the gauged global symmetry, satisfies the Ward identity

$$s\, Z[(f^{(2)}, \psi^{(1)}, \gamma^{(0)})] = 0 \ . \tag{2.20}$$

This identity expresses the fact that the partition function depends only on the cohomology class of $f^{(2)}$. In the BRST formulation, this is the statement that two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory is invariant under the area-preserving diffeomorphisms, viz. the $w(\infty)$ algebra.

Theories invariant under the 'rigid' topological supersymmetry are now obtained by restricting the spurion fields to the backgrounds which are *bosonic* fixed points of the deformed BRST operator, viz. $\gamma^{(0)} =$ constant and $\psi^{(1)} = 0$, as explained in (2.16). Hence, there is a one-parameter family of theories, labelled by the BRST invariant constant background $\gamma^{(0)}$. Depending on the background value, the topological supersymmetry is realized differently.

For non-degenerate backgrounds, $\gamma^{(0)} \neq 0$, one recovers the topological YM theory and also identifies the topological gaugino ψ, which remained mysterious in Witten's formulation [8], with the "composite" spurion $\gamma^{(0)}\, \tilde{A}$. As the background is non-degenerate, the standard YM theory has the topological supersymmetry as a manifest symmetry. For degenerate background, $\gamma^{(0)} = 0$, one recovers the original YM theory (2.4). The topological supersymmetry collapses and the BRST symmetry reduces to the pure gauge one, (2.5). Thus, when $\gamma^{(0)} = 0$, the topological supersymmetry can be thought of as a hidden symmetry of the standard Yang-Mills theory.

3 Coupling to $2\,d$ Background Topological Gravity

We next couple the TQFT constructed in Section 2 to two-dimensional TG. To this end, it is useful to formulate the theory in terms of superfields (or polyforms).

We introduce the dynamical superfields, both valued in the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra of the gauge group G:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &\equiv c + A + \widetilde{\phi}\ ,\\ \Phi &\equiv \phi + \widetilde{A} + \widetilde{c}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\phi}$ is a two-form of ghost number -1 and $\widetilde{c}$ is a two-form of ghost number -2. The total fermion number is defined to be the sum of the form degree and the ghost number. So, $\mathcal{A}$ carries fermion number $+1$, while Φ carries fermion number 0. We also introduce the spurion superfield (whose expectation value yields the super-background)

$$\mathbf{f} \equiv \gamma^{(0)} + \psi^{(1)} + f^{(2)}\ ,$$

carrying total fermion number $+2$. One can show straightforwardly that the superfield relations

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta_0\,\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^2 = \mathbf{f}\,\Phi\ ,\\ &\delta_0\,\mathbf{f} = 0\\ &\delta_0\,\Phi + [\mathcal{A},\Phi] = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where δ_0 stands for the derivation

$$\delta_0 \equiv s + \mathrm{d}\ ,$$

are equivalent to the BRST transformations (2.15) and also define the BRST transformations for $\widetilde{\phi}$ and $\widetilde{c}$:

$$\begin{aligned}s\,\widetilde{\phi} &= -[c,\widetilde{\phi}] - F + \gamma^{(0)}\tilde{c} + \psi^{(1)}\widetilde{A} + f^{(2)}\phi\ ,\\ s\,\widetilde{c} &= -[c,\tilde{c}] - [\widetilde{\phi},\phi] - D\widetilde{A}\ .\end{aligned}$$

The BRST invariant action

$$\begin{aligned}I &= \int_\Sigma \mathbf{f}\,\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi^2 =\\ &= \int_\Sigma \left[\, f^{(2)}\,\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2 + \psi^{(1)}\wedge \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi\,\widetilde{A} + \gamma^{(0)}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\phi\,\tilde{c} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{A}\wedge\widetilde{A})\right]\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

corresponds to the action (2.14). To see this, we solve for $\widetilde{\phi}$ and $\widetilde{c}$ by putting

$$\widetilde{\phi} = 0$$

and

$$s\,\widetilde{\phi} = -F + \gamma^{(0)}\widetilde{c} + \psi^{(1)}\tilde{A} + f^{(2)}\phi = 0\ .$$

into the action (3.22) and obtain the action (2.14).

We are ultimately interested in putting the theory on curved spacetime and in a background with non-trivial gauge fields. Therefore, we shall couple our topological formulation of two-dimensional YM theory to two-dimensional TG. The field content of TG includes the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, the gravitino $\psi_{\mu\nu}$, the diffeomorphism ghost ξ^μ, and the ghost-for-ghost γ^μ needed for the nilpotency of the BRST charge. They carry ghost numbers $0, 1, 1, 2$, respectively. The BRST transformations of these fields [11] are

$$\begin{aligned}
s\,g_{\mu\nu} &= -\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} + \psi_{\mu\nu}\ , \\
s\,\xi^\mu &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi \xi^\mu + \gamma^\mu\ , \\
s\,\psi_{\mu\nu} &= -\mathcal{L}_\xi \psi_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_\gamma g_{\mu\nu}\ , \\
s\,\gamma^\mu &= -\mathcal{L}_\xi \gamma^\mu\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ is the Lie derivative associated with the vector field ξ.

It is useful to introduce the operator S

$$S \equiv s + \mathcal{L}_\xi$$

which satisfies the relation

$$S^2 = \mathcal{L}_\gamma \tag{3.24}$$

on all the fields except the vector field ξ^μ. Finding a nilpotent BRST operator s for the matter TQFT coupled to TG is equivalent to finding an operator S that satisfies the relation (3.24) on the matter sector.

The solution to this problem [12] is obtained by replacing the coboundary operator δ_0 with a new nilpotent operator δ:

$$\delta \equiv S + \mathrm{d} - i_\gamma\ = \delta_0 + \mathcal{L}_\xi - i_\gamma, \qquad \delta^2 = 0 \tag{3.25}$$

in the transformations rules (3.21):

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^2 = \mathbf{f}\,\Phi\ , \\ &\delta\,\mathbf{f} = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

The equations above describe the BRST transformation rules for topological YM theory coupled to TG. In components, these transformations become

$$\begin{aligned} &S\,c = -c^2 + \gamma^{(0)}\,\phi + i_\gamma A \\ &S\,A = -D\,c + \gamma^{(0)}\,\widetilde{A} + \psi^{(1)}\,\phi + i_\gamma\widetilde{\phi} \\ &S\,\widetilde{\phi} = -[c,\widetilde{\phi}] - F + \gamma^{(0)}\tilde{c} + \psi^{(1)}\widetilde{A} + f^{(2)}\phi \\ &S\,\phi = -[c,\phi] + i_\gamma\widetilde{A} \\ &S\,\widetilde{A} = -[c,\widetilde{A}] - D\,\phi + i_\gamma\widetilde{c} \\ &S\,\widetilde{c} = -[c,\widetilde{c}] - [\widetilde{\phi},\phi] - D\widetilde{A} \\ &S\,f^{(2)} = -\mathrm{d}\,\psi^{(1)} \\ &S\,\psi^{(1)} = -\mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)} + i_\gamma f^{(2)} \\ &S\,\gamma^{(0)} = i_\gamma\psi^{(1)}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Most importantly, the action (3.22) remains BRST invariant even when the spacetime manifold Σ is curved. In general, we can add to the action terms of the form

$$I_n = \frac{a_n}{n}\int_\Sigma \mathbf{f}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi^n, \qquad (n = 2, \cdots)\ . \tag{3.28}$$

In particular, in case the gauge group G contains $U(1)$ factors, we can add a topological counterpart of the Fayet-Iliopoulos term

$$I_1 = a_1\int_\Sigma \mathbf{f}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi = a_1\int_\Sigma \left(f^{(2)}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi + \psi^{(1)}\wedge\mathrm{Tr}\,\tilde{A} + \gamma^{(0)}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\tilde{c}\right)\ , \tag{3.29}$$

which, after eliminating $\widetilde{\phi}$ and $\widetilde{c}$, becomes

$$I_1 \simeq a_1\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\,F\ .$$

For $n = 2$, we regain the BRST invariant action (3.22).

4 BRST invariant Topological Backgrounds

Having coupled the matter TQFT to TG, we now look for the background configurations that are BRST invariant. The BRST invariance conditions for the fermionic fields of both TG and topological $U(1)$ multiplet read

$$S\,\psi_{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad S\,\psi^{(1)} = 0.$$

They lead to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_\gamma g^{\mu\nu} = D^\mu\,\gamma^\nu + D^\nu\,\gamma^\mu = 0, \\ &\mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)} = i_\gamma f^{(2)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

characterizing the backgrounds in correspondence of which the matter QFT acquires global topological supersymmetry. Our aim is to solve these equations and classify the solutions modulo BRST trivial ones.

The action depends on the topological backgrounds only through the BRST operator S. The BRST operator, in turn, depends on the ghost-for-ghost γ^μ of TG and on the $U(1)$ fields $\gamma^{(0)}$ and $f^{(2)}$ only. Therefore, when the equations (4.30) are satisfied, the matter QFT is automatically independent of any variation of the metric that preserve γ^μ, as well as of any topological variation of the $U(1)$ fields that preserve the class of $f^{(2)}$.

The first equation in (4.30) asserts that the ghost-for-ghost γ^μ has to be a Killing vector of the two-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. This equation takes the same form in any spacetime dimensions, but the moduli space of solutions differs. In the context of three-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories, its moduli space was discussed in [3]. In two dimensions, it is well-known that there are Killing vectors on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, but not on higher-genus Riemann surfaces. Given the Killing vector γ^μ, we conclude that the matter QFT is independent of any γ-invariant deformations of the metric. Generically, these metrics have only a $U(1)$ isometry. This is the case for example for the squashed two-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2_q$ studied in [13] and [6].

Given the Killing vector γ^μ, we now turn to study the equations for the $U(1)$ gauge field background. The consistency of (4.30) requires

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\,i_\gamma f^{(2)} &= 0 = \mathcal{L}_\gamma\, f^{(2)} \\ i_\gamma\,\mathrm{d}\gamma^{(0)} &= 0 = \mathcal{L}_\gamma \gamma^{(0)}\ . \end{aligned}$$

This means that all the backgrounds $g_{\mu\nu}$, $f^{(2)}$ and $\gamma^{(0)}$ must be $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$-invariant. Trivial solutions are of the form

$$\gamma^{(0)} = i_\gamma(\theta^{(1)}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad f^{(2)} = \mathrm{d}\theta^{(1)} \ ,$$

where $\theta^{(1)}$ is a globally defined $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$-invariant 1-form:

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\, \theta^{(1)} = 0 \ .$$

The second equation in (4.30)

$$\mathrm{d}\, \gamma^{(0)} - i_\gamma f^{(2)} = 0 \tag{4.31}$$

has the form of the defining equation of the equivariant closed form of degree-two of the $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant cohomology on a two-surface:

$$(\mathrm{d} - \epsilon_\Omega\, i_V)\, (f^{(2)} + \epsilon_\Omega\, f^{(0)}) = 0 \ , \tag{4.32}$$

provided we make the identifications

$$\gamma^{(0)} = \epsilon_\Omega\, f^{(0)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma^\mu = \epsilon_\Omega\, V^\mu$$

where V is the Killing vector associated with the $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant action and ϵ_Ω is the degree-two generator of the ring of the $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant cohomology. The $f^{(2)} + \epsilon_\Omega\, f^{(0)}$, which appears in (4.32), is the equivariantly closed extension of the ordinary differential form $f^{(2)}$ and $\mathrm{d} - \epsilon_\Omega\, i_V$ is the Cartan differential.

For $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$, it is well-known that there are two linearly independent equivariant classes x and y of degree-two [5]. The first class is the ring variable itself:

$$x = \epsilon_\Omega.$$

The second class is

$$y = \widetilde{f}^{(2)} + \epsilon_\Omega\, \tilde{f}^{(0)} \ ,$$

where

$$\widetilde{f}^{(2)} = \sqrt{g}\, \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}x^\mu\, \mathrm{d}x^\nu$$
$$D^2\, \widetilde{f}^{(0)} = \sqrt{g}\, \epsilon_{\mu\nu}\, D^\mu\, V^\nu \ .$$

[5] We present an elementary proof of this assertion in the Appendix B.1.

Here, $\widetilde{f}^{(0)}$ is solved only up to an additive constant: given a choice of this constant, a shift to another value induces the change

$$y \quad \to \quad y + c\,x \ .$$

We choose the normalization of the variable y such that

$$\int_\Sigma y = \int_\Sigma \widetilde{f}^{(2)} = -2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad y(N) = \epsilon_\Omega\, \widetilde{f}^{(0)}(N) = \epsilon_\Omega \tag{4.33}$$

where N is one of the fixed points of the vector field V. If S is the other fixed point of V, we can choose

$$-\,2 = \int_\Sigma \widetilde{f}^{(2)} = \widetilde{f}^{(0)}(S) - \widetilde{f}^{(0)}(N) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{f}^{(0)}(S) = -\widetilde{f}^{(0)}(N) = -1 \ . \tag{4.34}$$

The localizing SG background found in [4] corresponds to an equivariant class of the form $a\,y + b\,x$ with $a \neq 0$, whereas the background identified in [7] corresponds to a class with $a = 0$.

The square of y is an equivariantly closed class of degree-four:

$$y^2 = x\,(2\,\widetilde{f}^{(2)}\,\widetilde{f}^{(0)} + \epsilon_\Omega\,(\widetilde{f}^{(0)})^2) \ .$$

Hence, we have

$$D\,(2\,\widetilde{f}^{(2)}\,\widetilde{f}^{(0)} + \epsilon_\Omega\,(\widetilde{f}^{(0)})^2) = 0 \ ,$$

from which we derive the cohomological equation

$$2\,\widetilde{f}^{(2)}\,\widetilde{f}^{(0)} + \epsilon_\Omega\,(\widetilde{f}^{(0)})^2 \quad \sim \quad \alpha\,x + \beta\,y \ .$$

Here, α and β are determined by

$$\int_\Sigma y^2 = \epsilon_\Omega \int_\Sigma 2\,\widetilde{f}^{(2)}\,\widetilde{f}^{(0)} = \beta \int_\Sigma x\,y = \beta\,\epsilon_\Omega$$

$$y^2(N) = \epsilon_\Omega^2\,(\widetilde{f}^{(0)}(N))^2 = \alpha\,x(N)^2 + \beta\,x(N)\,y(N) = (\alpha+\beta)\,\epsilon_\Omega^2 \ .$$

This yields

$$\beta = \int_\Sigma 2\,\widetilde{f}^{(2)}\,\widetilde{f}^{(0)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha = -\beta + 1 \ .$$

With the normalizations (4.33) and (4.34), we have

$$\beta = 0 \ .$$

We thus obtain the cohomological relation

$$y^2 \sim x^2 \ , \tag{4.35}$$

which tells us that the $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant cohomology at any degree is the polynomial ring generated by x and y modulo the relation (4.35).

Throughout the above analysis, we were taking both the TG backgrounds and the $U(1)$ field strength $f^{(2)}$ background to be *real-valued*. This implies that, for Σ a compact surface, the flux of $f^{(2)}$ must be quantized. Hence, on the two-sphere, the relevant cohomology is the integer valued $\mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant cohomology. In Section 6.1, we will discuss the impact of this quantization condition on the topological moduli space.

For $\Sigma = \mathbb{T}^2$, the $\mathbb{S}^1$-action is free. So, the equivariant cohomology is the same as the standard cohomology of the quotient $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{S}^1 \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ [6]. As such, there is just one parameter for the inequivalent BRST invariant topological backgrounds.

5 Relation to Supergravity Backgrounds

Given the classification of the topological backgrounds just discussed, our next goal is to establish a map between the topological BRST invariant backgrounds and the supersymmetric backgrounds of two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SG. We will show that the equations determining the BRST invariant topological backgrounds which we derived in the previous Section are equivalent to the equations for the generalized covariantly constant spinors of $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SG in two dimensions. It will be clear from our discussion that the method we will explain is very general, and it can be applied to other dimensions or to higher supersymmetry contents. We expect that the topological system which describe localizing backgrounds of SG with higher supersymmetry and/or in higher dimensions will include more topological gauge multiplets beyond the single abelian one which we considered in this paper.

The localizing backgrounds of SG are determined by the generalized Killing spinor equation, which is obtained by requiring the vanishing of the supersymmetry variations of the gravitino [6]:

$$(D_\mu - i\mathcal{A}_\mu)\,\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}\,H\,\Gamma_\mu\zeta + \frac{i}{2}\,G\,\Gamma_\mu\Gamma_3\zeta \ . \tag{5.36}$$

[6] See appendix B.2 for an explicit verification of this well-known general statement regarding $\mathbb{T}^2$.

Here, the covariant derivative D_μ includes the spin connection associated with the frame rotation on the tangent space $T\Sigma$, the vector field $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is the $U(1)_R$ gauge field minimally coupled to the R-symmetry current, and the scalar fields H and G are the Hodge duals of the two graviphoton field strengths. The cases with at least two supercharges of opposite R-charges are the ones discussed in the previous works since they lead to amenable computations. They correspond to backgrounds in (5.36) which satisfy the following reality conditions

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu^* = \mathcal{A}_\mu \ , \qquad H^* = -H \ , \qquad G^* = +G \ .$$

For these backgrounds, the conjugate of (5.36) reads

$$(D_\mu + i\mathcal{A}_\mu)\,\zeta^\dagger = +\frac{1}{2}\,H\,\zeta^\dagger\Gamma_\mu - \frac{i}{2}\,G\,\zeta^\dagger\Gamma_3\Gamma_\mu \ . \tag{5.37}$$

5.1 Graviphoton Backgrounds

The map between the TG backgrounds and the SG backgrounds is obtained by considering the decomposition of the bi-spinor in two dimensions:

$$\zeta_a(x)\,\zeta_b^\dagger(x) = c_0(x)\,\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{I}_{ab} + c_\mu(x)\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^\mu_{ab} + \widetilde{c}_0(x)\,\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^3_{ab} \ ,$$

where

$$c_0(x) = \zeta^\dagger(x)\,\zeta(x) \ , \qquad c_\mu(x) = \zeta^\dagger(x)\Gamma_\mu\zeta(x) \ , \qquad \widetilde{c}_0(x) = \zeta^\dagger(x)\,\Gamma^3\,\zeta(x).$$

The Fierz identities in two dimensions lead to the relation

$$c^\mu c_\mu = c_0^2(x) - \widetilde{c}_0^2(x), \tag{5.38}$$

where we raised the indices of c_μ using the background metric $g^{\mu\nu}$. The equations (5.36) and (5.37) for the spinors ζ and $\zeta^\dagger$ imply the following equations for the bilinears $c_0, c_\mu, \widetilde{c}_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_\mu\,c_\nu + D_\nu\,c_\mu = 0\\
&D_\mu\,c_\nu = \sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,(G\,c_0 + i\,H\,\widetilde{c}_0)\\
&D_\mu\,\widetilde{c}_0 = -i\,H\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,c^n\\
&D_\mu\,c_0 = G\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,c^\nu \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

where we used the relation

$$\zeta^\dagger\,\Gamma_\mu\,\Gamma^3\,\zeta = -i\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\zeta^\dagger\,\Gamma^\nu\,\zeta = -i\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,c^\nu \ .$$

The SG variables H, c^μ, and $\widetilde{c}_0$ have to be identified with the topological background fields according to the following map:

$$f \equiv *f^{(2)} = -i\,H, \qquad \gamma^{(0)} = \widetilde{c}_0, \qquad \gamma^\mu = c^\mu \ . \tag{5.40}$$

Therefore, in correspondence to a solution of the topological equations

$$D^\mu\,\gamma^\nu + D^\nu\,\gamma^\mu = 0 \qquad \mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)} = i_\gamma(f^{(2)}) \ , \tag{5.41}$$

we can construct a solution of the equations (5.39), which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \sqrt{\gamma^2 + (\gamma^{(0)})^2} \\ G &= \frac{1}{c_0}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\mu\,\gamma^\nu + f\,\gamma^{(0)}\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

together with Eqs. (5.40).

As explained in the previous Section, solutions of the topological equations (5.41) that are related by the transformations

$$f^{(2)} \to f^{(2)} + \mathrm{d}\,\omega^{(1)} \ , \qquad \gamma^{(0)} \to \gamma^{(0)} + i_\gamma(\omega^{(1)}) \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\omega^{(1)} = 0 \tag{5.43}$$

with globally defined $\omega^{(1)}$, are *gauge equivalent*. The flux of $f^{(2)}$ is, by definition, invariant under the gauge transformations (5.43). Let us see if the same is true for the flux of the SG background G. Under the gauge transformations (5.43), the associated composite two-form field

$$G^{(2)} = G\,\sqrt{g}\,\mathrm{d}^2x = \frac{1}{c_0}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}\,k + f^{(2)}\,\gamma^{(0)}\right] \ ,$$

varies by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta G^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{c_0}\left[\mathrm{d}\,\omega^{(1)}\,\gamma^{(0)} + f^{(2)}\,i_\gamma(\omega^{(1)})\right] - \frac{G^{(2)}}{c_0}\,\frac{\gamma^{(0)}\,i_\gamma(\omega^{(1)})}{c_0} \\ &= \mathrm{d}\,\Big(\frac{\omega^{(1)}\,\gamma^{(0)}}{c_0}\Big) \equiv \mathrm{d}\,\widetilde{\omega}^{(1)} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{\gamma^{(0)}}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + (\gamma^{(0)})^2}}\,\omega^{(1)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\widetilde{\omega}^{(1)} = 0 \ .$$

Moreover,

$$\delta\,c_0 = i_\gamma(\widetilde{\omega}^{(1)}) \ .$$

Hence we conclude that, under the gauge transformations (5.43), the "composite" fields $G^{(2)}$ and c_0 transform in the same way as the topological fields $f^{(2)}$ and $\gamma^{(0)}$:

$$G^{(2)} \to G^{(2)} + \mathrm{d}\,\widetilde{\omega}^{(1)} \ , \qquad c_0 \to c_0 + i_\gamma(\widetilde{\omega}^{(1)}) \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\widetilde{\omega}^{(1)} = 0 \ . \tag{5.44}$$

In particular,

$$\int_\Sigma G^{(2)} = \int_\Sigma \frac{\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}\,k + f^{(2)}\,\gamma^{(0)}}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + (\gamma^{(0)})^2}} \qquad \text{where} \qquad k \equiv g_{\mu\nu}\,\gamma^\nu\,\mathrm{d}x^\mu$$

is invariant under the BRST transformations, provided that the backgrounds satisfy the BRST invariance equations (5.41).

5.2 $U(1)_R$ Field Strength Background

We have seen how the topological backgrounds specify the spinorial bilinears and thus the backgrounds H and G. Below, we show how the $U(1)_R$ field strength is also obtained from the same topological backgrounds.

From the equation for the generalized covariantly constant spinors:

$$(D_\mu - i\,\mathcal{A}_\mu)\,\zeta = \frac{i}{2}\,(-f\,\Gamma_\mu + G\,\Gamma_\mu\,\Gamma_3)\,\zeta \ , \tag{5.45}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(D_\nu - i\,\mathcal{A}_\nu)\,(D_\mu - i\,\mathcal{A}_\mu)\,\zeta \ &= \ \frac{i}{2}\,(-(D_\nu\,f)\,\Gamma_\mu + (D_\nu\,G)\,\Gamma_\mu\,\Gamma_3)\,\zeta + \\ &+ \ \frac{i}{2}\,(-f\,\Gamma_\mu + G\,\Gamma_\mu\,\Gamma_3)\,\frac{i}{2}\,(-f\,\Gamma_\nu + G\,\Gamma_\nu\,\Gamma_3)\,\zeta \\ &= \ \frac{i}{2}\,\Big[-(D_\nu\,f)\,\Gamma_\mu + (D_\nu\,G)\,\Gamma_\mu\,\Gamma_3 + \frac{i}{2}\,(f^2 - G^2)\,\Gamma_\mu\,\Gamma_\nu)\Big]\,\zeta \ .\end{aligned}$$

Antisymmetrizing with respect to μ, ν yields

$$\left(-\frac{i}{2}\,\sqrt{g}\,R\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\Gamma_3 + i\,\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\right)\,\zeta = \frac{i}{2}\,\Big[(D_{[\mu}\,f)\,\Gamma_{\nu]} - (D_{[\mu}\,G)\,\Gamma_{\nu]}\,\Gamma_3 - \sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,(f^2 - G^2)\,\Gamma_3\Big]\,\zeta \ ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\,\mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu\,\mathcal{A}_\mu \equiv \mathcal{F}_R\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \\ &[D_\mu, D_\nu]\,\zeta = \sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,R\,\frac{i}{2}\Gamma_3\,\zeta \ .\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we arrive at the equation

$$\big[2\,\mathcal{F}_R + (f^2 - G^2 - R)\,\Gamma_3 + (\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\nu f + i\,D_\mu\,G)\,\Gamma^\mu\big]\,\zeta = 0 \ . \tag{5.46}$$

Non-trivial solutions of this equations exist whenever

$$\det\left[2\,\mathcal{F}_R + (f^2 - G^2 - R)\,\Gamma_3 + (\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\nu f + i\,D_\mu\,G)\,\Gamma^\mu\,\right] = 0\ , \tag{5.47}$$

that is,

$$2\,\mathcal{F}_R = \pm\sqrt{(f^2 - G^2 - R)^2 + D_\mu\,f D^\mu f - D^\mu G\,D_\mu\,G + 2\,i\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\mu\,G\,D^\nu\,f}\,. \tag{5.48}$$

For generic f and G, (5.48) would require that $\mathcal{F}_R$ be *complex-valued*. However, since the fluxes are annihilated by the Lie derivative along γ:

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\,f = \mathcal{L}_\gamma\,G = 0$$

it follows that[7]

$$\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\mu\,G\,D^\nu\,f = 0$$

and thus the square of the field strength, $\mathcal{F}_R^2$, is *real-valued*:

$$\mathcal{F}_R = \pm\frac{1}{2}\,\sqrt{(f^2 - G^2 - R)^2 + D_\mu\,f D^\mu f - D^\mu G\,D_\mu\,G}\ . \tag{5.49}$$

As a matter of facts, not only the square but also $\mathcal{F}_R$ itself is *real*. We will check this explicitly in the next Section.

We note that the field strength background (5.49) encompasses all known backgrounds discussed in [6] as special cases. When (5.49) is satisfied, the matrix in (5.46) is generically of rank-one. In this case, the system has only *two* global supercharges. The system has *four* global supercharges when the matrix has rank-zero, that is when the $U(1)_R$ field strength vanishes,

$$f^2 - G^2 - R = D_\mu\,f = D_\mu\,G = 0 \qquad \text{and hence} \qquad \mathcal{F}_R = 0\ , \tag{5.50}$$

which agrees with the results of [6].

The real-valuedness of the $U(1)_R$ field strength can be understood more clearly by proceeding as follows. We first rewrite the integrability condition (5.47) in a different form.

[7] This can be proven as follows. $\gamma^\mu\,D_\mu\,f = 0$ implies

$$\gamma^2\,D_\mu\,f = (\gamma^2\,D_\mu - \gamma_\mu\,\gamma^\rho\,D_\rho)\,f = \sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\gamma^\nu\,(\epsilon^{\rho\sigma}\,\gamma_\sigma\,D_\rho\,f).$$

So, $D_\mu f = \sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\gamma^\nu\,A(f)$ where $A(f) \equiv \epsilon^{\rho\sigma}\,\gamma_\sigma\,D_\rho\,f$. The same holds for G. It then follows immediately that $\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\mu\,G\,D^\nu\,f = 0$.

From (5.46), we have

$$2\,\mathcal{F}_R\,c_0 + (f^2 - G^2 - R)\,\gamma^{(0)} + (\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\nu f + i\,D_\mu\,G)\,\gamma^\mu = 0$$
$$2\,\mathcal{F}_R\,\gamma^{(0)} + (f^2 - G^2 - R)\,c_0 + (\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\nu f + i\,D_\mu\,G)\,i\,\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\rho}}{\sqrt{g}}\,\gamma_\rho = 0\ .$$

Since f and G are $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ invariant, the imaginary terms in the equations above drop out:

$$2\,\mathcal{F}_R\,c_0 + (f^2 - G^2 - R)\,\gamma^{(0)} - D^\mu f\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\gamma^\nu = 0$$
$$2\,\mathcal{F}_R\,\gamma^{(0)} + (f^2 - G^2 - R)\,c_0 - D^\mu\,G\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\gamma^\nu = 0\,. \tag{5.51}$$

Combining the two equations, we obtain a manifestly real-valued expression of the $U(1)_R$ field strength

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_R &= (D^\mu f\,c_0 - \gamma^{(0)}\,D^\mu\,G)\,\frac{\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\gamma^\nu}{2\,\gamma^2}\\ &= \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{g}}\,D_\mu\left[\frac{(f\,c_0 - G\,\gamma^{(0)})\,\gamma_\nu}{2\,\gamma^2}\right]\,.\end{aligned}$$

The flux of $\mathcal{F}_R$ does not necessarily vanish since the vector field

$$\mathcal{A}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\,(f\,c_0 - G\,\gamma^{(0)})\,\frac{\gamma^\mu}{\gamma^2}$$

becomes singular at the zeros of the vector field γ^μ. If we perform the transformation (5.43) on f and $\gamma^{(0)}$, the field strength $\mathcal{F}_R$ changes by a globally defined total derivative:

$$\mathcal{A}^\mu \to \mathcal{A}^\mu + \Big(\frac{\epsilon^{\rho\sigma}\,\partial_\rho\,\omega_\sigma}{2\,c_0\sqrt{g}} - \frac{\gamma^\rho\,\omega_\rho\,G}{2\,c_0^2}\Big)\gamma^\mu\,,$$

which implies that the flux of $\mathcal{F}_R$ is invariant under topological transformations.

Finally, from (5.51), we can express the scalar spinorial bilinears in terms of the SG backgrounds:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(0)} &= \frac{\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\gamma^\mu}{(Df)^2 - (DG)^2}\Big[(D^\nu f)(f^2 - G^2 - R) - (D^\nu G)\sqrt{(f^2 - G^2 - R)^2 + (Df)^2 - (DG)^2}\Big]\\ c_0 &= \frac{\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,\gamma^\mu}{(Df)^2 - (DG)^2}\Big[(D^\nu G)(f^2 - G^2 - R) - (D^\nu f)\sqrt{(f^2 - G^2 - R)^2 + (Df)^2 - (DG)^2}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

6 Classification of Supergravity Backgrounds

Our considerations in earlier sections apply to any two-dimensional spacetime Σ equipped with a metric which has an isometry. In this Section we shall focus separately on $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{T}^2$. While non-compact $\Sigma = \mathbb{H}^2$ is an equally interesting case, due to new features, we shall relegate its study to a separate work. As we explained in the previous sections, there is no loss of generality in taking the metrics on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{T}^2$ be maximally symmetric.

6.1 All Supersymmetric Localizing Backgrounds on $\mathbb{S}^2$

Consider the round two-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ with coordinates

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi^2. \tag{6.52}$$

We take γ^μ to be proportional to one of the three Killing vector fields,

$$\gamma = \partial_\phi \ . \tag{6.53}$$

Up to topological equivalences, we know that the general solution of (5.41) is given by

$$\gamma^{(0)} = A - \frac{n}{2}\cos\theta \qquad \text{and} \qquad f = \frac{n}{2}, \tag{6.54}$$

where A is a constant and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ labels the first Chern class of the topological connection

$$\int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\, f = \gamma^{(0)}(\pi) - \gamma^{(0)}(0) = n \ . \tag{6.55}$$

The modulus A for a generic solution which is topologically equivalent to (6.54) can be expressed as

$$A = \frac{\gamma^{(0)}(\pi) + \gamma^{(0)}(0)}{2} = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma^{(0)}(0) \ . \tag{6.56}$$

This expression is topologically invariant since the Killing vector γ^μ vanishes at the poles of $\mathbb{S}^2$.

For the graviphoton background G, we obtain

$$G_{n,A} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}\,A + (1 - \frac{n^2}{4})\,\cos\theta}{\sqrt{\sin^2\theta + (A - \frac{n}{2}\,\cos\theta)^2}} \ , \tag{6.57}$$

whose flux takes the value

$$m \equiv \int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\, G_{n,A} = c_0(\pi) - c_0(0) = \begin{cases} +n & \text{for} \quad A \geq +\frac{|n|}{2} \\ 2\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(n) & \text{for} \quad |A| < \frac{|n|}{2} \\ -n & \text{for} \quad A \leq -\frac{|n|}{2} \end{cases} \ . \tag{6.58}$$

Therefore, by requiring the quantization of this flux, we see that, when $|A| \geq \frac{|n|}{2}$, A is a *continuous* moduli parameter of this family of solutions. On the other hand, when $|A| < \frac{|n|}{2}$, the quantization of the flux for G imposes that A be a *discrete* parameter, taking the $2\,n-1$ values

$$A = A(m) = \frac{m}{2} \qquad \text{for} \qquad m = -(n-1), \cdots, 0, \cdots, n-1 \tag{6.59}$$

and

$$G_{n,m} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}\frac{m}{2} + (1 - \frac{n^2}{4})\cos\theta}{\sqrt{\sin^2\theta + (\frac{m}{2} - \frac{n}{2}\cos\theta)^2}} \ . \tag{6.60}$$

The $U(1)_R$ field strengths corresponding to the topological backgrounds (6.54) are

$$\mathcal{F}_R^{n,A} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(n^2/4 - 1 - G_{n,A}^2)^2 - (DG_{n,A})^2} \ . \tag{6.61}$$

The flux of $U(1)_R$ gauge field is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\mathcal{F}_R^{n,A} &= \int_0^\pi \mathrm{d}\theta \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\left[(f\, c_0 - G\,\gamma^{(0)})\frac{\gamma_\phi}{2\,\gamma^2}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[(f(\pi)\, c_0(\pi) - G(\pi)\,\gamma^{(0)}(\pi)) - (f(0)\, c_0(0) - G(0)\,\gamma^{(0)}(0))\right] \\
&= \begin{cases} +1 & \text{for} \quad A \geq +\frac{|n|}{2} \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad |A| < \frac{|n|}{2} \\ -1 & \text{for} \quad A \leq -\frac{|n|}{2} \end{cases} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.62}$$

In Figure 1, continuous and discrete solutions are represented on the (m, n) plane, where m and n are the G and f fluxes. The solutions with continuous A are pictured by red (blue) dots on the lines $n = m$ ($n = -m$) and their $U(1)_R$ flux is $+1$ (-1). The discrete solutions, which do not have continuous moduli parameters beyond the Ω-deformation parameter, are represented by the black dots. Their $U(1)_R$ fluxes vanish. The solution with $m = n = 0$ is represented by a green dot: its $U(1)_R$ flux is 1 (-1) if $A > 0$ ($A < 0$).

Let us briefly discuss how the solutions previously studied in the literature fit to our general classification. For $n = -2$, the discrete solutions form a multiplet whose members are labelled by $m = -1, 0, 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= -1 \\
\gamma^{(0)} &= \frac{m}{2} + \cos\theta \\
c_0 &= \sqrt{\sin^2\theta + (\frac{m}{2} + \cos\theta)^2} \\
G &= \frac{-\frac{m}{2}}{\sqrt{\sin^2\theta + (\frac{m}{2} + \cos\theta)^2}} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.63}$$

The solution found in [4] has

$$f = -1 \ , \qquad \gamma^{(0)} = \cos\theta \ , \qquad G = 0 \tag{6.64}$$

It corresponds in our classification to the case with $m = 0$ of the $n = -2$ multiplet [8].

[8] Eq. (5.50) shows that this solution has enhanced supersymmetry.



Figure 1: *Fluxes of localizing backgrounds on the 2-sphere:* $n = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\, f$, $m = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\, G$.

6.2 Ω-Deformed $\mathbb{S}^2$

Another class of localizing SG backgrounds, considered in [6] and [7], is the so-called Ω-deformed $\mathbb{S}^2$. Let us show that this solution correspond to $n = 0$ case in our classification. For $n = 0$, there is no discrete solution. The continuous one is

$$f = 0\ , \qquad \gamma^{(0)} = A\ , \qquad G = \frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{\sin^2\theta + A^2}}\ . \tag{6.65}$$

The G flux of this solution of course vanishes. The $\mathcal{F}_R$ flux is $+1$ (-1) if $A > 0$ $(A < 0)$. The case with $n = 0$ and $A = 0$ is a singular limit: as discussed previously, if one sets $\gamma^{(0)} = 0$, the BRST transformations degenerate.

The Ω-deformed $\mathbb{S}^2$ background was defined in [6] and [7] by the equation

$$f = G. \tag{6.66}$$

By substituting this into (5.42), one obtains the equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^\mu\,\gamma^\nu + f\,\gamma^{(0)} = f\,\sqrt{\gamma^2 + (\gamma^{(0)})^2}\,. \tag{6.67}$$

Taking account of (5.41) and (6.52), this gives

$$\cos\theta + \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\,\frac{\gamma^{(0)}}{\sin\theta} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\gamma^{(0)}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\,\sqrt{1+\frac{(\gamma^{(0)})^2}{\sin^2\theta}}\ , \tag{6.68}$$

which can be easily solved to yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^{(0)}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\,B} + \frac{B}{2}\,\sin^2\theta = -\frac{1}{2\,B} + \frac{B}{2}\,\gamma^2\\
&f = G = B\,\cos\theta = \frac{B}{2}\,\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{mn}\,D^m\,\gamma^n\\
&c_0 = \frac{1}{2\,B} + \frac{B}{2}\,\gamma^2\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.69}$$

Since

$$\gamma^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2\,B} + i_\gamma(\omega) \qquad \text{and} \qquad f^{(2)} = \mathrm{d}\,\omega$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{B}{2}\,k\,,$$

one realizes that the Ω-deformed background (6.69) is topologically gauge equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2\,B}\\
&f = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{6.70}$$

and hence equivalent to the background (6.54) with $n = 0$ and $A = -\frac{1}{2\,B}$. In the gauge in which $f = 0$, the "composite" field G for the Ω-deformed background is given by

$$G = \frac{2\,B\cos\theta}{\sqrt{1+4\,B^2\,\sin^2\theta}}\,. \tag{6.71}$$

In our considerations so far, both G and f are taken real-valued. There actually exists another class of consistent SG backgrounds for which both G and f are purely imaginary-valued. Formally, these backgrounds can be obtained from our backgrounds by analytically continuing our formulas to pure imaginary values of A. The background with $A = i$, for example, is the situation discussed in [5]. For this "Wick-rotated" backgrounds, the two-dimensional flux configurations of the backgrounds correspond to exchanging n and m in Figure 1.

6.3 All Localizing Backgrounds on $\mathbb{T}^2$

For $\Sigma = \mathbb{T}^2$, let us adopt the coordinates

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\theta_1^2 + \mathrm{d}\theta_2^2 \,. \tag{6.72}$$

We choose the vector field γ to be one of the two Killing vectors:

$$\gamma = \partial_{\theta_1} \,. \tag{6.73}$$

Up to topological equivalences, the general solution of (5.41) is given by

$$f = 0 = G \,, \qquad \gamma^{(0)} = A \,, \qquad c_0 = \sqrt{1 + A^2} \,. \tag{6.74}$$

We see that the allowed values for the background fields are considerably reduced compared to those for $\mathbb{S}^2$. This is because the first Chern class of the topological $U(1)$ gauge field must vanish.

7 $O(1,1)$ Duality Symmetry

In this section we will show that some of the duality automorphisms of the supersymmetry algebra act as solutions generating symmetries. To see this, let us return to the Killing spinor equation

$$(D_\mu - i\mathcal{A}_\mu)\,\zeta = -\frac{i}{2}\, f\, \Gamma_\mu \zeta + \frac{i}{2}\, G\, \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_3 \zeta \,. \tag{7.75}$$

We see that this equation is invariant under the global $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$ transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ G \end{bmatrix} & \to & \begin{bmatrix} f' \\ G' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh\alpha & \sinh\alpha \\ \sinh\alpha & \cosh\alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ G \end{bmatrix} \\ \zeta & \to & \zeta' & = & \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\,\Gamma_3}\,\zeta \\ \mathcal{A}_\mu & \to & A'_\mu & = & \mathcal{A}_\mu \,. \end{array} \tag{7.76}$$

Namely, under the $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$, (f, G) transforms as a vector, ζ transforms as a spinor, while $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is a scalar. We shall refer to this continuous global $O(1,1;\mathbb{R})$ invariance as "non-compact duality symmetr".

Under the same $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$ duality transformation, the topological bilinears transform as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ \gamma^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} & \to & \begin{bmatrix} c'_0 \\ (\gamma^{(0)})' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh\alpha & \sinh\alpha \\ \sinh\alpha & \cosh\alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ \gamma^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \gamma^\mu & \to & (\gamma^\mu)' & = & \gamma^\mu \,. \end{array} \tag{7.77}$$

The $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$ duality transformation leave the equations for the spinor bilinears (5.39) invariant and thus it must act on the topological backgrounds as well. However, it is important to observe that the $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$ duality symmetry is realized *non-linearly* on the space of solutions of the equations for TG backgrounds as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
f \quad &\to \quad f' \;=\; \cosh\alpha\, f + \sinh\alpha\, G[f,\gamma^{(0)},\gamma^{\mu}] \\
\gamma^{(0)} \quad &\to \quad (\gamma^{(0)})' \;=\; \sinh\alpha\, c_0[\gamma^{(0)},\gamma^{\mu}] + \cosh\alpha\ \gamma^{(0)} \\
\gamma^{\mu} \quad &\to \quad (\gamma^{\mu})' \;=\; \gamma^{\mu} \\
\mathcal{F}_R[f,\gamma^{(0)},\gamma^{\mu}] \quad &\to \quad \mathcal{F}_R[f',(\gamma^{(0)})',(\gamma^{\mu})'] = \mathcal{F}_R[f,\gamma^{(0)},\gamma^{\mu}]\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.78}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_0[\gamma^{(0)},\gamma^{\mu}] \;&=\; \sqrt{\gamma^2 + (\gamma^{(0)})^2} \\
G[f,\gamma^{(0)},\gamma^{\mu}] \;&=\; \frac{1}{c_0}\left[\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\,D^{\mu}\,\gamma^{\nu} + f\,\gamma^{(0)}\right] \\
\mathcal{F}_R[f,\gamma^{(0)},\gamma^{\mu}] \;&=\; \pm\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(f^2-G^2-R)^2 + (D_{\mu}f)^2 - (D_{\mu}G)^2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.79}$$

On a compact surface Σ, the fluxes of f and G must be quantized. In general, given a background configuration of (7.75) with quantized fluxes, the configuration obtained after the duality transformations (7.76) may not have quantized fluxes. When this happens, the transformed background is not physically acceptable. This implies that, for compact manifolds, the *continuous* duality symmetry $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$ is generically broken.

Still, the theory might be invariant under a *discrete* set of duality transformations which send a configuration with quantized fluxes to another configuration with quantized fluxes: if (n,m) are the (f,G) fluxes of a given configuration, there must exists a non-trivial discrete duality transformation for each nontrivial integer fluxes (n',m') that preserves the $O(1,1;\mathbf{Z})$ quadratic form

$$||(n',m')||^2 \equiv n'^{\,2} - m'^{\,2} = n^2 - m^2 \equiv ||(n,m)||^2\,. \tag{7.80}$$

As we already classified all solutions up to topological gauge equivalence, we can analyze the fate of the global duality symmetry in full generality.

Take first the solutions with $|A| > \frac{|n|}{2} > 0$ which have f and G fluxes equal to $(n,m) = (n,\pm n)$. We will focus on the $n > 0$ and $m = n$ class of background configurations, as the foregoing analysis would similarly hold for other classes. From (7.80), we see that the

duality transformations which act on such backgrounds form a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, whose elements are the matrices for which

$$\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_k} = k, \qquad \text{equivalently,} \qquad \alpha_k = \log k + 2\pi i\mathbb{Z} \tag{7.81}$$

for some k *positive* integer such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ G \end{bmatrix} \quad \to \quad \begin{bmatrix} f' \\ G' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(k+\frac{1}{k}) & \frac{1}{2}(k-\frac{1}{k}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(k-\frac{1}{k}) & \frac{1}{2}(k+\frac{1}{k}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ G \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{(0)} \\ c_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \to \quad \begin{bmatrix} (\gamma^{(0)})' \\ c_0' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(k+\frac{1}{k}) & \frac{1}{2}(k-\frac{1}{k}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(k-\frac{1}{k}) & \frac{1}{2}(k+\frac{1}{k}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{(0)} \\ c_0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Under such discrete duality transformation, the moduli A and n of the backgrounds are transformed to

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ A \end{bmatrix} \quad \to \quad \begin{bmatrix} n' \\ A' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ -k/2 & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ A \end{bmatrix} = k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ A \end{bmatrix} .$$

We see that, starting from the solutions with $n = 1$ and all $A > 1/2$, one can generate all other solutions with $n > 0$ and $A > n/2$ by $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$ duality transformations.

On the other hand, generic discrete solutions for $A = \frac{m}{2}$ and fluxes (n,m) with $|m| < |n|$ and $n \neq 0$ breaks completely the $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$ duality symmetry group (7.76). This is the case, for example, of the solution $(n,m) = (-2,0)$ of [4], since the only solution of

$$n'^{\,2} - m'^{\,2} = 4 \tag{7.82}$$

are $n' = \pm 2$ and $m' = 0$. In general, one can show that the set of $O(1,1;\mathbf{Z})$ duality transformations which send a given discrete solution into another discrete solution is a finite set (generically empty). For example, the only other solution which can be generated by the Lorentzian symmetry from the discrete solution $(n,m) = (7,2)$ is the one with $(n',m') = (9,6)$.

It remains to consider the solution with $n = 0$ and $A > 0$ continuous[9] associated with the Ω-deformed $\mathbb{S}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(0)} &= A \\ f &= 0 \\ c_0(\gamma^{(0)}, \gamma^\mu) &= \sqrt{\sin^2\theta + A^2} \\ G(f, \gamma^{(0)}, \gamma^\mu) &= \frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{\sin^2\theta + A^2}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.83}$$

[9]The solution with $A < 0$ corresponds to the $\bar{A}$-twisted model.



Figure 2: The action of the discrete non-compact duality symmetry on fluxes: $n = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\, f$, $m = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}\, G$.

By a general $O(1,1;\mathbf{R})$ rotation, one obtains

$$(\gamma^{(0)})' = \sinh\alpha\, \sqrt{\sin^2\theta + A^2} + \cosh\alpha\, A \tag{7.84}$$

and hence that

$$A' = \frac{1}{2}\left[(\gamma^{(0)})'(\pi) + (\gamma^{(0)})'(0)\right] = \mathrm{e}^{\alpha}\, A\,.$$

We see therefore that, starting from the $n = 0$ $A = 1$ model, one obtains all values $A > 0$ by acting with the duality symmetry transformation.

Summarizing, the $O(1,1,\mathbb{R})$ duality transformations generate, starting from the solutions with $n = \pm 1$ and $n = 0$ in the continuous branch, all other solutions with generic n and A continuous. On the other hand, the solutions in the discrete branch, with $A = \frac{m}{2}$ and $|m| < |n|$ are, generically, not connected by the duality transformations. The action of the duality transformations on the localizing SG backgrounds is depicted in Figure 2.

8 Conclusions

In this work, we have obtained a complete classification of supersymmetric localizing backgrounds (metric and gauge field) that can be constructed in two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SG. The key idea has been to couple two-dimensional matter TQFT to topological gravity and to relate BRST invariant topological backgrounds to supersymmetry preserving SG backgrounds. This approach was already introduced in three dimensions in [3]. The present work discusses its universality and generality and also explicitly works out the dictionary between the TG and SG approaches in two dimensions.

Unlike the SG approach widely discussed in the literature, our analysis uses TG to analyze and classify the manifolds admitting generalized covariantly constant spinors. In this paper, we showed that *all* the two-dimensional backgrounds which admit generalized covariantly constant spinors can be obtained via TG. More precisely, we demonstrated that there is a precise map which allows to reconstruct, given a BRST invariant topological background, a solution of the equations for generalized covariantly constant spinors in $N = (2,2)$ SG. From a more technical point, we have learned that the two-dimensional case presents a new feature when compared to the three-dimensional one analyzed in [3]: one needs to introduce also a background $U(1)$ topological gauge field, equivariantly coupled to TG. In Section 2 we have shown that the abelian gauge multiplet is necessary to consistently couple the two-dimensional YM theory to TG. In other words, the rigid matter theory explicitly tells us what are the backgrounds which needs to be introduced.

In fact the topological approach also provides a natural and precise notion of *equivalent* backgrounds, i.e. backgrounds that can be made equal through topological gauge transformations. In this way it becomes much easier to obtain a complete classification of the localizing backgrounds. We believe this is a significant advantage over the more traditional SG approach, for which the analogous notion has not yet been worked out.

The natural implication of our map is the prediction that the topological partition function $Z_{\text{top}}[\gamma^\mu, f^{(2)}, \gamma^{(0)}]$ of the matter TQFT coupled to BRST invariant topological backgrounds is identical to the partition function of the matter SQFT coupled to the corresponding SG backgrounds

$$Z_{\text{top}}[\gamma^\mu, f^{(2)}, \gamma^{(0)}] = Z_{\text{sugra}}\big[f, G[f, \gamma^{(0)}, \gamma^\mu], \mathcal{F}_R[f, \gamma^{(0)}, \gamma^\mu], \zeta\big] \,. \tag{8.85}$$

Here, ζ is the covariantly constant spinor solution of Eq. (5.45), while $G[f, \gamma^{(0)}, \gamma^\mu]$ and $\mathcal{F}_R[f, \gamma^{(0)}, \gamma^\mu]$ are the "composite" SG backgrounds expressed in terms of the topological

backgrounds in (7.79). Checking this prediction explicitly is an outstanding open problem that we leave for the future.

We found many more supersymmetric localizing solutions than those that have been explored so far: it would be interesting in particular to investigate the "discrete" solutions that generalize the one of [4] and [5]. In this regard, it would be also interesting to understand how the non-compact duality transformations act on the partition functions of different supersymmetric localizing backgrounds.

A direction for future investigation might be the uplift of the new supersymmetric localizing backgrounds we discovered to the superstring setup. The two-dimensional matter SQFTs with at least 2 supercharges contain vector, chiral and twisted chiral supermultiplets. Such systems arise as the low-energy limit of two-dimensional matter on intersecting D-branes. It could be interesting to study how the topological symmetry which we have uncovered is realized from the brane perspectives.

Another direction is to understand better the Ω-deformation. We related this background to turning on the background of vector superghost in TG. It would be interesting to extend this to the most general Ω-deformations and to utilize the two-dimensional analysis in this paper to the worldsheet formulation of the Ω-deformations.

As yet another direction, we note that the coupling of the matter TQFT to TG is also the starting point for constructing topological strings. It seems reasonable to ask if the backgrounds with non-vanishing ghost number which were at the core of our analysis are relevant in the topological string set up in which the topological backgrounds become dynamical. One might speculate that the Ω-deformation be relevant to the world-sheet understanding of the refined topological string.

We hope we made clear that the methods introduced in this paper are quite general and they are not restricted either to two dimensions or to $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SG: we believe they might be a valuable tool to explore localizing backgrounds also in other dimensions and with different supersymmetry content. For example, it should be relatively simple to study localizing backgrounds which arise in two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (4,4)$ SG [10].

Acknowledgments

We acknowlegde Dongsu Bak, Laurent Baulieu, Stefano Cremonesi, Andreas Gustavsson, Stefan Hohenegger, Euihun Joung, Seok Kim, Daniel Krefl, Diego Rodriguez-Gomez, Yolanda

[10] Some preliminary results for this case have been recently obtained in [14].

Lozano, Mauricio Romo, Eric Sharpe, Alessandro Tomasiello, Anderson Trimm, Cumrun Vafa, Alberto Zaffaroni and Yang Zhou for discussions. CI thanks the String Theory Group of the Seoul National University, DR thanks Theory Group at SISSA, SJR thanks the High-Energy Theory Group at Weizmann Institute of Science, the Institute for Theoretical Physics at University of Madrid, String Theory Group at Oviedo University and "Physics at the Riviera 2015" conference at Sestri Levante for their respective warm hospitality during this work. JB, SJR, DR acknowledges excellent collaboration environment provided by APCTP Focus Program "Liouville, Integrability and Branes (11)", where part of this work was done. The work of CI was supported in part by INFN, by Genoa University Research Projects (P.R.A.) 2014 and 2015. The work of JB, DR, SJR was supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Korea grants 2005-0093843, 2010-220-C00003 and 2012K2A1A9055280.

A Standard YM Theory from Topological YM Theory

We asserted that, in two-dimensional spacetime, standard YM theory is related to topological YM theory by a certain deformation. Here, we explain details of this relation. The starting point is the partition function of the standard YM theory, viewed as a deformation of the topological YM theory:

$$Z[\epsilon] = \int [\mathrm{d}A\,\mathrm{d}\phi]\,\mathrm{e}^{-I_{\mathrm{YM}}[A,\phi]} = \int [\mathrm{d}A\,\mathrm{d}\phi]\,\mathrm{e}^{-I_{\mathrm{top}}[A,\phi]}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\int_\Sigma \mathrm{d}^2x\,\sqrt{g}\,\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2}\ , \tag{A.86}$$

Here, ϵ is a positive semidefinite deformation parameter and

$$I_{\mathrm{top}}[A,\phi] = \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi\,F \tag{A.87}$$

is the topological YM theory action. Expanded in power series of the deformation parameter ϵ,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathrm{pert}}[\epsilon] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\epsilon^n}{n!}\int [\mathrm{d}A\,\mathrm{d}\phi]\,\mathrm{e}^{-\Gamma_{top}[A,\phi]}\int_\Sigma d^2x_1\,\sqrt{g}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2(x_1)\,\cdots\int_\Sigma d^2x_n\,\sqrt{g}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2(x_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\epsilon^n}{n!}\int_\Sigma d^2x_1\,\sqrt{g(x_1)}\cdots\int_\Sigma d^2x_n\,\sqrt{g(x_n)}\,\Big\langle \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2(x_1)\cdots\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2(x_n)\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{top}}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{A.88}$$

where $\langle\cdots\rangle_{\mathrm{top}}$ denotes the vacuum expectation value computed in the *topological YM theory*. Since we are expanding in ϵ, $Z_{\mathrm{pert}}(\epsilon)$ is of course only the *perturbative* part of the full partition function $Z(\epsilon)$ of the standard theory: $Z_{\mathrm{pert}}(\epsilon)$ does differ from $Z(\epsilon)$ by exponentially small, nonperturbative terms of $O\big(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\big)$.

One also observes that the zero-form

$$O^{(0)} = \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2\ , \tag{A.89}$$

is BRST invariant

$$s\,O^{(0)} = 0\ , \tag{A.90}$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2 = s\,O^{(1)}\ , \tag{A.91}$$

where

$$O^{(1)} = \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi\,\psi\ . \tag{A.92}$$

This implies that the correlation functions

$$\left\langle \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2(x_1)\cdots \mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2(x_n)\right\rangle_{top} \equiv \left\langle \left(\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2\right)^n\right\rangle_{\mathrm{top}} , \tag{A.93}$$

does not depend on the operator insertion locations $x_1, \ldots x_n$. So, the perturbative partition function (A.88) becomes

$$Z_{\mathrm{pert}}(\epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma)\,\epsilon\right)^n}{n!} \langle \left(\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2\right)^n\rangle_{\mathrm{top}} , \tag{A.94}$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma)$ is the area of the surface Σ with the chosen background metric g.

When Σ is a closed surface Σ_h of genus h, the topological correlation function which appear in the expansion (A.94) is reduced to integrals over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_h$ of flat gauge connections on Σ_h. More precisely, the ghost number-four BRST operator $\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2$ corresponds to a closed four-form $\Omega^{(4)}$ on $\mathcal{M}_h$:

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2 \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad \Omega^{(4)} , \tag{A.95}$$

so the topological correlation functions which appear in (A.94) become

$$\left\langle \left(\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi^2\right)^n \right\rangle_{\mathrm{top}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_h} \left(\Omega^{(4)}\right)^n \mathrm{e}^{\omega_2} , \tag{A.96}$$

where ω_2 is the natural symplectic two-form on $\mathcal{M}_h$, defined by

$$\omega_2(\delta A, \delta A) = \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{Tr}\,\delta A \wedge \delta A . \tag{A.97}$$

The correlation functions (A.96) make it clear that the series expansion (A.94) terminates after a finite number of terms so that $Z_{pert}(\epsilon)$ is a polynomial in ϵ whose degree depends on the genus h of Σ_h.

The integration in (A.96) is well-defined as long as the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_h$ is smooth and compact. Singularities of $\mathcal{M}_h$ are associated with reducible flat connections. Therefore, in the presence of reducible flat connections, $Z_{\mathrm{pert}}(\epsilon)$ does not admit an expansion in integer positive powers of ϵ, as in (A.94), but it also includes terms with fractional, possibly negative, powers of ϵ. In this case, $Z_{\mathrm{pert}}(\epsilon)$ still encodes some topological information of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_h$, but it is not related in any simple way to the standard intersection numbers of it.

B $\mathbb{S}^1$-Equivariant Cohomology

B.1 $\mathbb{S}^1$-Equivariant Cohomology for $\mathbb{S}^2$

A generic invariant two-form $f^{(2)}$ can be decomposed as

$$f^{(2)} = c_1 \sqrt{g}\, \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}x^\mu\, \mathrm{d}x^\nu + \mathrm{d}\,\theta^{(1)} \ , \tag{B.98}$$

where c_1 is a constant and $\theta^{(1)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\, \theta^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}\,\omega^{(0)} \ . \tag{B.99}$$

The positive-definite inner product on the space of one-forms

$$\left\langle \omega^{(1)}, \widetilde{\omega}^{(1)} \right\rangle = \int_\Sigma \omega^{(1)} * \widetilde{\omega}^{(1)} = \int_\Sigma \mathrm{d}^2x \sqrt{g}\, \omega_\mu\, \widetilde{\omega}_\nu\, g^{\mu\nu} \tag{B.100}$$

built with the $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$-invariant metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$-invariant. So, $\theta^{(1)}$ admits the orthogonal decomposition:

$$\theta^{(1)} = \theta_0^{(1)} + \theta_\perp^{(1)} \ , \tag{B.101}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\, \theta_0^{(1)} = 0 \tag{B.102}$$

and

$$\left\langle \theta_\perp^{(1)}, \theta_0^{(1)} \right\rangle = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta_\perp^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_\gamma\, \omega^{(1)} \tag{B.103}$$

since the image of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ is orthogonal to the space of invariant forms.

There is a positive definite, $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$-invariant inner product on the space of zero-forms as well:

$$\left\langle \omega^{(0)}, \widetilde{\omega}^{(0)} \right\rangle = \int_\Sigma \mathrm{d}^2x \sqrt{g}\, \omega\, \widetilde{\omega}$$

and the corresponding orthogonal decomposition:

$$\omega^{(0)} = \omega_0^{(0)} + \omega_\perp^{(0)} \ . \tag{B.104}$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\, \theta^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_\gamma\, \theta_\perp^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}\,\omega_0^{(0)} + \mathrm{d}\,\omega_\perp^{(0)} \ .$$

This implies

$$\mathrm{d}\,\omega_0^{(0)} = 0$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\theta^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}\,\omega_\perp^{(0)} = \mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\mathrm{d}\,\theta^{(0)}\,.$$

In other words,

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\widetilde{\theta}^{(1)} \equiv \mathcal{L}_\gamma\,(\theta^{(1)} - \mathrm{d}\,\theta^{(0)}) = 0\,. \tag{B.105}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(2)} &= c_1\,\sqrt{g}\,\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\,\mathrm{d}x^\nu + \mathrm{d}\,\widetilde{\theta}^{(1)} \\ \gamma^{(0)} &= c_1\,\gamma_{BC}^{(0)} + i_\gamma(\widetilde{\theta}^{(1)}) + c_0 \end{aligned} \tag{B.106}$$

with $\widetilde{\theta}^{(1)}$ which is $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ invariant.

B.2 $\mathbb{S}^1$-Equivariant Cohomology for $\mathbb{T}^2$

Again, a generic *invariant* two-form $f^{(2)}$ can be decomposed as

$$f^{(2)} = c_1\,\sqrt{g}\,\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\,\mathrm{d}x^\nu + \mathrm{d}\,\theta^{(1)}\,, \tag{B.107}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\mathrm{d}\,\theta^{(1)} = 0 = \mathrm{d}\,\mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\theta^{(1)}\,. \tag{B.108}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\theta^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}\,\omega^{(0)} + h^{(1)}\,, \tag{B.109}$$

where $h^{(1)}$ is harmonic:

$$\mathrm{d}\,h^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}^\dagger\,h^{(1)} = 0. \tag{B.110}$$

Using the same orthogonal decomposition as in (B.101) for $\theta^{(1)}$, $\omega^{(0)}$ and $h^{(1)}$, we now obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\theta^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\theta_\perp^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}\,\omega_0^{(0)} + \mathrm{d}\,\omega_\perp^{(0)} + h_0^{(1)} + h_\perp^{(1)}\,. \tag{B.111}$$

From this,

$$\mathrm{d}\,\omega_0^{(0)} + h_0^{(1)} = 0 \tag{B.112}$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\,\theta^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}\,\omega_\perp^{(0)} + h_\perp^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_\gamma\,(\mathrm{d}\,\theta^{(0)} - \widetilde{h}^{(1)}). \tag{B.113}$$

So,

$$\widetilde{\theta}^{(1)} \equiv \theta^{(1)} - \mathrm{d}\,\theta^{(0)} + \widetilde{h}^{(1)} \tag{B.114}$$

is invariant:

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma\widetilde{\theta}^{(1)} = 0 \tag{B.115}$$

and $f^{(2)}$ is reduced to

$$f^{(2)} = c_1\,\sqrt{g}\,\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\,\mathrm{d}x^\nu + \mathrm{d}\,\widetilde{\theta}^{(1)}\,. \tag{B.116}$$

However, there is no nontrivial $U(1)$ bundle on $\mathbb{T}^2$ invariant under γ. We must therefore set

$$c_1 = 0\,. \tag{B.117}$$

This leads to

$$\gamma^{(0)} = i_\gamma(\widetilde{\theta}^{(1)}) + c_0\ . \tag{B.118}$$

References

[1] V. Pestun, "Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops," Commun. Math. Phys. **313**, 71 (2012) [arXiv:0712.2824].

[2] G. Festuccia and N. Seiberg, "Rigid Supersymmetric Theories in Curved Superspace," JHEP **1106**, 114 (2011) [arXiv:1105.0689].

[3] C. Imbimbo and D. Rosa, "Topological anomalies for Seifert 3-manifolds," [arXiv:1411.6635].

[4] F. Benini and S. Cremonesi, "Partition Functions of $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ Gauge Theories on S^2 and Vortices," Commun. Math. Phys. **334**, 1483 (2015) [arXiv:1206.2356].

[5] N. Doroud, J. Gomis, B. Le Floch and S. Lee, "Exact Results in D=2 Supersymmetric Gauge Theories," JHEP **1305**, 093 (2013) [arXiv:1206.2606].

[6] C. Closset and S. Cremonesi, "Comments on $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ supersymmetry on two-manifolds," JHEP **1407**, 075 (2014) [arXiv:1404.2636].

[7] C. Closset, S. Cremonesi and D. S. Park, "The equivariant A-twist and gauged linear sigma models on the two-sphere," JHEP **1506**, 076 (2015) [arXiv:1504.06308].

[8] E. Witten, "2-dimensional gauge theories revisited," J. Geom. Phys. **9** (1992) 303 [arXiv:hep-th/9204083].

[9] G. 't Hooft, *A Two-dimensional model for mesons*, Nucl. Phys. B **75** (1974) 461.

[10] A. Migdal, *Recursion equations in gauge field theories*, Sov Phys. JETP **42**, (1976) 413.

[11] L. Baulieu and I. M. Singer, "Topological Yang-Mills Symmetry," Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **5B** (1988) 12.

S. Ouvry, R. Stora and P. van Baal, "On the Algebraic Characterization of Witten's Topological Yang-Mills Phys. Lett. B **220** (1989) 159.

L. Baulieu and I. M. Singer, "The Topological Sigma Model," Commun. Math. Phys. **125** (1989) 227.

H. Kanno, "Weyl Algebra Structure and Geometrical Meaning of BRST Transformation in Topological Quantum Field Theory," Z. Phys. C **43** (1989) 477.

[12] C. Imbimbo, "The Coupling of Chern-Simons Theory to Topological Gravity," Nucl. Phys. B **825**, 366 (2010) [arXiv:0905.4631].

[13] J. Gomis and S. Lee, "Exact Kahler Potential from Gauge Theory and Mirror Symmetry," JHEP **1304**, 019 (2013) [arXiv:1210.6022].

[14] A. Lawrence and M. Soroush, "N=(4,4) Vector Multiplets on Curved Two-Manifolds," [arXiv:1509.00890].